

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,479	08/03/2001	Thomas Zettler	J&R-0694	7212
24131 75	590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP P O BOX 2480			CHUNG, PHUNG M	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2138	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Comments	09/922,479	ZETTLER, THOMAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phung My Chung	2138			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 h	March 2006 and 10 March	<u>2006</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	i. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4)	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Administ. Note the attached	7 Office Action of John 1 10-102.			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/06. 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/922,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 2138

Election Without Traverse

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-4, 6-17, 19-23 and 29 on March 10, 2006 is acknowledged.

Single Means Claim:

2. Claims 11 and 12 are single means claims, i.e., "a self-test control device..." does not appear in combination with another recited element or means, is subject to an undue breath rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claims which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held non-enabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor). (See MPEP 2164.08(a)).

As per claims 13 and 15, these claims are also rejected because they dependent upon the rejected based claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 14, 16-17, 19-23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, lines 7-9, "taking at least parts of the integrated circuit ..device" is not clear as to what it means. It is unclear how and why the parts of integrated circuit is taking out

Art Unit: 2138

after have been tested by the self-test device. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

As per claims 2-3, these claims are rejected because they dependent upon the rejected based claim.

As per claim 4, lines 5-6, "moving the control device...circuit" is not clear how the control device moving together with the integrated circuit. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

As per claim 6, this claim is rejected because it dependent upon the rejected based claim.

As per claim 7, lines 3-5, "including not supplying a clock signal,..., to the parts of the integrated circuit" is a negative limitation. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

As per claim 8, lines 3-5, "including not supplying a supply voltage,..., to the parts of the integrated circuit" is a negative limitation. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

As per claims 9-10, these claims are rejected because they dependent upon the rejected based claim.

As per claim 14, lines 2-3, "said self-test control device...circuit" is not clear how the control device moving together with the integrated circuit. Appropriate correction and/or clarification is required.

As per claim 16, lines 3-7, "a self-test device...; and

Application/Control Number: 09/922,479 Page 4

Art Unit: 2138

a device for,...,...said components" the interconnection and/or interrelation between these device are unknown.

As per claims 17, 19-23, these claims are rejected because they dependent upon the rejected based claim.

As per claim 29, lines 3-7, "a self-test device...;

a device for,...,...said components" the interconnection and/or interrelation between these device are unknown.

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-17, 19-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2138

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phung My Chung Primary Patent Examiner